OFFICE OF THE PR. ACCOUNTANT GENERAL (AUDIT), DELHI AGCR BUILDING, I.P. ESTATE, NEW DELHI – 110 002

Inspection Report on the accounts of Delhi Technological University, Shahbad Daulatpur, Bawana Road, Delhi-110042, for the year 2011-12 to 2013-14.

Part-I

A. Introductory

General

(i) The accounts of the office of Delhi Technological University (DTU), Shahbad Daulat Pur, Bawana Road, Delhi-110042 for the year 2011-14 were test checked by an Audit Party, Comprising of Shri Arun Roy, Sr.Audit Officer, Shri Devinder Kumar, AAO, Shri Badri Prasad and Shri S.K. Sharma Sr. Auditor of the Office of the Pr. Accountant General (Audit) Delhi, New Delhi w.e.f. 24.04.2014 to 15.05.2014 (15 Working Days).

(ii) The following Officer/Officials have held the charge of the different posts for the period mentioned against each:

Sl.No.	Posts	Name of Officer/ Officials	Period of Charge
1.	Head of department	Professor PB Sharma, VC Prof. Panka Jalote, VC	01.01.10 to 28.02.2014 01.03.2014 to till date
2.	Head of Office	Dr. DK Singh, Jt. Registrar (administration)	01.01.2010 to till date
3.	DDO	Sh. Surender Kumar, AO Sh. Sita Ram, Sr. AO	01.04.2011 to 15.05.13 06.05.13 to till date
4	Store keeper	Sh. AK Pandey	01.01.2010 to till date
5.	Cashier	Sh. Gulshan ,UDC Sh. UN Saraswat, Jt. Office Asstt.	01.01.2010 to 31.12.11 01.01.2012 to till date

(iii) Organization Set-up and general activities

Delhi Technology University (DTU) is an autonomous grantee institution, which is 100 % funded by Government of Delhi. The DTU came into force in the month of July 2009. It was

formerly known as Delhi College of Engineering (DTE). In the year 2009, in Delhi Legislative Assembly and with the consent of the Honourable Lt. Government of Delhi, thus changing its name from DCE to Delhi Technology University. DCE which was previously functioning at old campus, located in Kashmere Gate, Delhi was shifted to its new place Shahbad Daulatpur, Bawana Road, Delhi-110042 in an area of nearly 164 Acres.

DTU is presently offering nearly 14 undergraduate 23 post graduate & 08 PHD courses, towards Bachelor of Engineering, Bachelor of Technology, Master of Engineering, Master of Science and Doctor of Philosophy & Master of Business Administration. The University has own campus hostel facilities in addition of other facilities like central library, knowledge par, gymnasium, health centre, sports, various laboratories, SBI along with its ATM facility, a Post Office, Canteen, Mess, Residential Accommodations, Guest House, etc. At present DTU have nearly 6000 students on its strength. DTU has sanctioned staff strength of 1064, out of which 654 (348 regular 306 contract) posts are filled as on date. As per information given to the audit, DTU is having 11 different bank account in State Bank of India, DTU Complex. The information provided by DTU authorities reveals that the Hostel Office is also maintaining two separate bank account i.e. Hostel Fund Account (10704860860) and Hostel Mess Establishment Services & Maintenance Account (107404860565). The audit is surprised to note that neither any accounts functioning nor the DDO of DTU is involved in the maintenance of hostel accounts. Instead of this, the whole work of hostel complex as well as maintenance of hostel accounts is being done by the officer in charge. Hostel office i.e. by the faculty members.

(iv) Budget allocation and expenditure.

The Budget Estimates as well as actual expenditure of the year 2011-14 (PLAN) are as under:

(figures in Crore.)

year	Previous balance	Budget allocation (Grant in Aid)	Expenditure	Unspent balance
2011-12	Datanec	69.80	66.84	2.97
2011-12	2.97	72.21	75.18	
2012-13	.53	90.00	79.87	10.66

(v) Internal Audit

The Internal Audit of the Delhi Technological University, Shahbad Daulatpur, Bawana Raod Delhi -110042 has been conducted by the Internal Audit wing of the Govt. of NCT of Delhi covering the period up to March, 2012

Part-I-B

Review of old outstanding audit objections

The outstanding objection pertaining to the previous inspection report were revised and discussed with the concerned officers and head of department and following objection were settled.

List of para settled

Sl. No	Year	Para no.	Brief of audit para
1.	2007-08	10	Infructuous expenditure on purchase of stationery
2.	2008-09	3	Short recovery of TDS amounting to Rs. 2,06,726/-
3.	2009-10 &2010-11	1	Avoidable loss of Rs. 85.32 lakh due to assessment of sanction load of electricity supply
4.	do	3	Loss of revenue due to non-renewal of canteen contract in new campus, DTU

Details of outstanding paras

Sl.No	Year	Para no.	Brief of audit para
1	2005-06	4	Recovery of tax deducted at source Rs. 2,39,993/-
2	2007-08	12	Library Section deficient/management
3	2009-11	2	Unauthorized occupation of staff quarters
4	-do-	4	Non recovery of license fee from state bank of India and post office
5	-do-	5	Short recovery of income tax amounting to Rs. 1.47 lakh
6	-do-	6	Non return of library books amounting to Rs. 4,84,619/-
7	-do-	7	Non disposal of un-service able/obsolete equipment's having original value of Rs. 1,13,08,216/-

Part II A

Para 1: Non Recovery of License Fee amounting Rs.1.19 crore.

Audit scrutiny of records of the University revealed that one branch of State Bank of India (SBI) and a Post office are operational in the university premises. The SBI branch and the post office are carrying out their activities with allotted areas of 186.542 and 73.2 sqm respectively since April 1999. It was noticed that no license fee has ever been paid by both the commercial establishments i.e. SBI and Post office to the university,

Commercial Establishment License Fee Management of the University, in its meeting (October 2011) decided to assess the amount of license fee due from these establishments since their establishment in DTU Campus on priority basis. Consequently the outstanding payment of license fee, which was calculated on basis of rates defined by Directorate of Estates from time to time, came to Rs.79.64 lakh and Rs.11.52 lakh from SBI and Post Office respectively for the period from April 1999 to November 2011. The details of outstanding payment against license fee from both the establishment as on March 2014 is given below:

Period	License fee per sqm /Per month	Occupied area (sqm)	No. of months	Amount due
	State	Bank of India		-
01.04.99 to 31.03.02	220	186.542	36	14,77,080
01.04.02 to 31.03.05	249	-do	36	16,71,786
01.04.05 to 31.03.08	279	-do	36	18,73,206
01.04.08 to 31.03.11	337	-do	36	22,62,618
01.04.11 to 31.12.11	455	-do	9	763717
01.01.12 to 31.03.12	455	-do-	3	254575
01.04.12 to 31.03.14	455	-do-	24	2036580
Total	100			1,03,39,562
Total	P	ost Office		
01.04.99 to 31.03.02	81	73.2	36	2,13,451
01.04.02 to 31.03.05	92	-do	36	2,42,438
	103	-do	36	2,71,426
01.04.05 to 31.03.08	124	-do	36	3,26,765
01.04.08 to 31.03.11	167	-do	9	110020
01.04.11 to 31.12.11	167	-do-	3	36673
01.01.12 to 31.03.12	167	-do-	24	293386
01.04.12 to 31.03.14	107	-40-	-	14,94,157
Total				1,18,61,035/-
Grand Total				

Further, audit scrutiny also revealed that no agreement was executed with these establishment when these were allowed to carry out their functions in the premises of erstwhile Delhi College of Engineering (DCE). No agreement was entered into even when the DTU was formed under the Delhi Legislative Act.

Thus in the absence of any agreement entered into, the university failed to safeguard Government interest as it has no terms and condition to act upon in the event of default being committed by these commercial establishments and thus these establishments were also reluctant to pay the fees. The university made few efforts by writing letters to these establishments but to no avail and thus an amount of Rs.1.19 crores is still outstanding from these establishments on account of license fee since 1999.

Part II B

Para 1: Non recovery of damage charges amounting Rs.63.72 lakh from the unauthorized occupants of staff quarters

Audit scrutiny of records relating to Estates (Govt. Accommodation) of the university revealed that the residential flats were being retained/occupied by few officials/ or their families beyond the permissible time after retirement or death of the Govt servant. The accommodation were vacated in October 2013 by the authorities of the University, but recovery against damage charges amounting Rs.63.72 lakh due from these officials were not recovered as yet.

The details are given below:

s.no	Name of official Sh/Shri	Date of retirement /death /termination	Amount due against damage charges
1	AK Jha	31.101997(termination)	1512092
2	Om Pal, sweeper	05.03.1999(termination/cancell ation)	1153624
3	Chander Sen, LDC	16.10.95(relieved from the DTU)	42037 stayed till June 2012.
4	Late. Sh. Laxman Singh	29.06.2007	516844
5	Late Sh. Jagmal Singh	03.12.2002	1226728
6	Late Sh. Pradeep Sharma	24.01.2008	479483
	Late Sh. Rambabu, Lab Attndt.	14.06.2005 (termination)	940963
7 8	Sh. Ajay Kishore, Sr. Mech.	31.12.2008	499753
	total		6371524

The reasons for non-recovery of damage charges from the above officials were called for The university accepted the audit observation and has stated that necessary action in this regard has been started.

Para 2: (A) Irregularities in installation of Solar water heater system and Plumbing work.

Scrutiny of file relating to installation of Solar Water Heating System in the university revealed the following irregularities:

1. In accordance with GFR rule 150 (i) and (ii) invitation to tenders by advertisement should be used for procurement of goods of estimated value Rs.25 lakh (Rupees Twenty Five Lakh) and above. Advertisement in such case should be given in the Indian Trade Journal (ITJ), published by the Director General of Commercial Intelligence and Statistics, Kolkata and at least in one national daily having wide circulation. Further, an organization having its own web site should also publish all its advertised tender enquiries on the web site and provide a link with NIC web site. It should also give its web site address in the advertisements in ITJ and newspapers.

During audit scrutiny It was noticed, however, that instead of going for limited tender enquiry, the university gave work orders for the plumbing work to M/s MS Associates, authorized dealers of M/s EMVEE, amounting Rs.51.98 lakh (in three phases) as M/s EMVEE claimed that plumbing is a specialized work and therefore, the work has to be done by their authorized dealers. This is a gross violation of stipulation of GFR provisions and it was not a precondition in rate contract for supply, installation and commissioning of solar water heating system.

Further, the university did not satisfy itself in respect of competitiveness of the quotation/proposal as quotation from only two these agencies i.e. Principal company (M/s EMVEE) and its authorized dealer were considered and there was only a slight margin between the rates of the two quotation and thus these rates could not be relied upon. The university did not floated tenders inviting quotations of the authorized dealers of principal company, if at all the claim of the principal company was to be considered.

2. Scrutiny also revealed that M/s EMVEE installed 43, 53 and 40 (total 136) no. of solar system in the university in three phases. However, during the plumbing work M/s MS Associates installed only 43, 53, 32 (128) no. of Cold water Storage Tanks(each @ Rs.9700) with these solar systems , i.e 8 nos. of tanks were installed short in the third phase. (40 cold

12/4

water tank to be installed as per university work order dt. 29.1.10 for the third phase in plumbing work.)

- 3. As per terms of payment of Rate contract in respect of supply, installation and commissioning of solar water heating system, the supplier (M/s EMVEE) had to deposit a bank guarantee equal to 10 per cent of the bill amount which should be valid for 2 months beyond the guarantee /warranty period (Guarantee /warranty: 2 years from the date of installation and commissioning against any manufacturing and design defects). Audit, however noticed that as per record provided, the bank Guarantee amounting Rs. 12.43 lakh was not obtained from the supplier and thus did not safeguard the Govt. interest and at the same time extended undue benefit to the supplier.
- 4. As per delegation of financial powers approved by Board of Management to various officials of the university, the Vice Chancellor can accord administrative approval and expenditure sanction for expenditure upto Rs.50lakh for work relating to addition/ alteration, modernization, renovation and maintenance work being executed through engineering wing/PWD after observing codal formalities.

Audit scrutiny of file relating to provision of solar water heater system revealed that the estimated cost of the project amounted to Rs.1.06 crore for supply, installation and commissioning of 96 Solar Systems including plumbing work (additional work). The actual cost of the project was Rs. 1.76 crores after commissioning of 136 solar water heating systems (after consideration of provision of the system in residential areas to be used as hostels) along with plumbing work. The administrative approval and expenditure sanction was accorded by Vice chancellor which was beyond his financial powers.

2 (b): Non availing of Govt. subsidy amounting Rs.23.80 lakh on installation of Solar water heating system

In accordance with letter No. 3/1/2007/UICA (SE) dated 18/8/2008 of Ministry of Non – Conventional Energy Sources, capital subsidy equivalent to upfront interest subsidy @ Rs.1750 per square meter (sqm) of collector area (of the solar system) to registered institutions (non-profit making establishment) will be available.

Accordingly, the university was eligible for capital subsidy of Rs.23.80lakh (Rs.1750 x136 systems x 10 as each system having 5 collectors of 2 sqm each), but the same had not been availed by the institution.

Audit noticed that the university has made no efforts to avail this subsidy.

Para 3: Irregular payment of Honorarium/remuneration

"As per income tax act the terms salary means remuneration of person, which he has received from is employer for rendering service to him"

The honorarium is a recurring or non-recurring payment granted to a Govt. Servant as remuneration for special work of an occasional or intermittent character. Under FR 46 –B , the Competent Authority may grant or permit a Govt. Servant to receive an honorarium as remuneration for work performed , which is of occasional or intermittent character and either so laborious or of such special merit as to justified a special reward . The sanctioning authorities are also required to record the reasons which justify the grant of extra remuneration.

Further it is clarified that the total amount of honorarium payable to the Govt. Servant during the financial year is limited to Rs.5000/- under the powers delegated to ministries/departments / CAG of India and to Rs.2500/- under the powers of head of department. These limit cannot be exceeded in any case by treating different items of work of same items of work performed that different times of the year as separate for the purpose of calculating the entitlement of honorarium

Scrutiny of records of the university however, revealed that an amount of Rs.16.68 lakh and Rs.15.65 lakh were spent for payment of honorarium/remuneration to the officers, faculty and staff engaged in the activities relating to B. Tech admissions and counselling during the years 2011-12 and 2012-13 respectively calculated on daily basis for each official. It was, however, noticed that the officials were paid remuneration/honorarium at exorbitant rates. The officers were paid remuneration/honorarium to the extent of even Rs.1.78 lakh, 1.73 lakh, one lakh etc. during a year. Further, the rates of payment of honorarium/remuneration paid during 2011-12 and 2012-13 were not sent for approval of either Finance Committee of the university or Finance Department of Govt. of NCT of Delhi.

Records also revealed that as per Agenda no. 13 of the minutes of eleventh meeting of the Finance Committee (24.03.14), regarding proposal for structure and remuneration for DTU Admission committee (BTech / MTech) for the academic year 2014-15 the proposed rates of remuneration/honorarium are much lower than what was paid earlier and are consolidated in

nature and are based on the limitations of payment of honorarium/ remuneration as per FR 46. The finance committee examined the proposal and advised that a detailed proposal with reference to the prescribed rates in various other universities with full justification with reference to the work load may be put up to the Finance Committee for consideration and approval.

The above agenda and proposal of rates are clearly indicating the fact and supported the audit observation that the rates at which the payment of honorarium/remuneration was made during 2011-12 and 2012-13 were in the absence of any approval from the FC, Board of Management (BOM) or Finance Dept. of Govt. of NCT of Delhi.

The payment of honorarium at such exorbitant rates and without the prior approval of FC and BOM needs elucidation.

Para 4: irregularities in reimbursement of cost of mobile phones to the officials

The University vide its office order no. DTU/Gen. Admn. /22/2010-11 dated January 2011 allowed reimbursement of expenditure incurred for purchase of mobile phone and monthly bill there of to the officers of the university as per the following monetary ceiling:

s.no	Facility	Vice chancellor	Pro-VC/ Registrar/ OSD /HOD / Dean/ COF/ COE/ Chief Warden	Jt. Registrar /Dy. Registrar/ Librarian/ Hostel Warden /I/C Hostel Office and equivalent officer	Asstt. Registrar/ staff officer to VC/Accounts officer/Stat. Officer/ AAO
1	Purchase of mobile phone	Rs.15000/ each for two hand sets	Rs.15000/ for one hand sets	Rs.10000/ for one hand set	Rs.5000/ for one hand set
2	Reimbursement of monthly bills of mobile phone	Rs.5000/- pm for two connection	Rs. 1500/- pm	Rs. 1000/- pm	Rs. 500/- pm

As per orders no reimbursement will be allowed on account of repair of mobile handset. The life of the handset will be three years and if any officer happens to leave the university service before three years he/ she is required to deposit the proportionate amount with the university to keep the handset.

Further all the eligible officers of DTU were requested strictly to adhere to the above mentioned monetary limits. The expenditure in excess of the ceiling will be borne by the officers themselves.

Scrutiny of records /stock, however, revealed the following irregularities:

- 1. Audit scrutiny of records however revealed that the Vice chancellor purchased a Tablets (Samsung Galaxy tab) costing 23950/-(including adapter costing Rs.800/-) but the reimbursement for the same was made for Rs.23950/- against the prescribed ceiling of 15000/- for one mobile i.e., an excess payment of Rs.8950/- was made to the official.
- 2. Scrutiny of file/stock register revealed that Sh.Lokesh Garg, Asstt. Registrar (S&P) had been reimbursed for Rs.5000/- for procurement of mobile phone as per eligibility vide sanction order F.212/01-09/10-11/P/608-611 dated 31.03.11, but an expenditure amounting Rs.27484/- was also incurred by the university for issuing a mobile phone to the official vide sanction no.

f.no./S&P/211/16-2/13-14/185-187 dated. 02.08.13 and bill no. CB-571 dated 30.08.13. The issue of another mobile is against the provisions and same may be recovered under intimation to audit.

- 3. Scrutiny of stock register also revealed that mobile hand set amounting Rs.26175/- (including memory card and mobile cover costing Rs.3310/-) was issued to Sh. R. P Aggarwal, Chairman BOM in May 2013. As per order, the official was allowed reimbursement of two handsets (mobile phone) each costing Rs.15000/- only. Thus recovery of excess payment of Rs.11175/- may please be recovered under intimation to audit.
- 4. Scrutiny of records further, revealed that the following officials who were issued mobile phones as per their eligibility but did not deposit either the mobile phone or the proportionate amount with the university consequent upon their transfers and the lifespan of the mobile phones (in their possession) of 3 three years was not exhausted.

Name and designation of the official to whom the mobile phone was issued	Date of issue	Amount of reimburse- ment	Date of Transfer /retire	Proportionate cost to be deposited
Prof. Narendra kumar	February 2011	14300	15.04.13	4766
Sh. Alok Kumar Singh, Warden	-do-	10000	June 12	5833
Prof. BD Pathak , HOD	September 2011	14900	15.04.13	5794
Sh. Amrish Pawar, Warden	Nov. 2011	9850	01.06.12	6567
Sh. A.K Sharma	May 2011	9200	July ,12	5622
Sh. P D Goyal	March 2011	8999	Dec.2011	6000
S K Sharma, Statistical officer	July 11	4000		2666

The action as per provisions may please be taken under intimation to audit.



Para 5: Inadmissible payment of transport allowance amounting Rs.60012/-

As per T.A. Rules, Transport Allowance will not be admissible if an employee is absent from duty for full calendar month due to leave, training, tour etc. During the scrutiny of the records it was noticed that the following officials were on leave for full calendar months but Transport Allowance was paid to the officials, details of which are given below:-

Sl. No.	Name & Designation (s/shri)	Period of Leave	Completed Month	Transport Allowance paid	Total Amount
1	Anguri Devi, Safai Karamchari	14.08.11 to 11.10.11	1	2416x1	2416
2	Kailash, -do-	1.1.11 to 24.5.11 18.8.12 to 31.12.12	8	870 x 3 & 906 2640, 2752x3	3516 10896
3	Rajendra Kumar Foreman	7.2.13 to 15.8.13	5	2752x2=5504 2880x3=8640	14144
4	Sanjeev Kumar, Foreman	18.7.11 to 23.9.11 13.2.12 to 5.8.12	6	2416x1 2528,2640x4	15504
5	Jai Narain, Lab. Attn.	22.8.12 to 5.10.12	1	2640x1	2640
6	Shri Krishan, Safai Karamchari	18.8.12 to 31.12.12	4	2640x1 2752x3	10896
				Total	60012/-

From the above table, it could be seen that the transport allowance was paid to the officials who were on regular leave for full calendar months. The excess paid transport allowance amounting Rs.60012/- may be recovered from the concerned officials under intimation to audit.

Para 6: non adjustment of Abstract Contingent Advances amounting Rs.26.30 lakh

As per Government of Indian Decision 4(2) (viii) below Rule 258 (2) of General Financial Rules, 1963 contingent advances should be adjusted within a period of one month from the date of drawl or latest by 31st march of the year in which it was drawn. Scrutiny of contingent advance register, however, revealed that advances amounting to Rs**26.30 lakh** were outstanding as of May, 2014, the details of which is given below:

Sl. No.	Name of Employees (S/Shri)	Bill No.	Date of Drawal of Advance	Amount
1.	P. D. Goel, COF	AB-501	29.08.11	40,811
2.	Raajeshwari Pandey	AB-512	01.09.11	21,500
3.	Pro.R.K. Sinha, HOD	AB-856	24.11.11	40,000
4.	RaghvendraGautam, A/Prof	AB-903	01.12.11	12,000
5.	Dr.Samsher, Prof.	AB-942	08.12.11	5,250
6.	Girish Kumar	AB-1067	27.12.11	36,000
7.	Dr.R.K. Sinha, Prof.	AB-241	25.01.12	40,000
8.	Ajay Kumar Gautam	AB-1430	01.03.12	20,000
9.	Dr.D.Kumar, Asso. Prof.	AB-1381	17.03.12	4,000
10.	AvineshRatra, -do-	AB-1430	01.03.12	20,000
11.	Dr.Richa Mishra	AB-966	26.12.12	40,000
12.	J. Panda, Suptt.	AB-967	26.12.12	18,000
13.	Madhusudan Singh, COE	AB-1177	08.02.13	31,650
14.	D.Kumar, Suptt.	AB-1359	14.03.13	4,45,935
15.	P.U. Ram Kumar	AB-921	26.03.13	49,500
16.	Archna Rani	AB-1439	31.03.13	16,000
17.	Pragati Kumar	AB-560	29.08.13	4,23,000
18.	Controller of Examination	AB-890	30.10.13	2,34,281
19.	Dr. D. Kumar	AB-252	29.06.12	2,70,000
20	Manju Singh, S.O.	AB-389	06.08.12	1,50,000
21	-do-	AB-391	08.08.12	1,50,000
22	Dr. Nitin	AB-409	30.08.12	15,000
23	AvinashRatre	AB-604	10.10.12	20,000
24	Roger carnberg Prof.	AB-899	10.12.12	2,00,000
25	Richa Mishra, Asso. Prof.	AB-829	21.11.12	1,68,540
26	Dr.Archna Rani, Superintendent	AB-667	16.10.12	15,000
27	Dr. Anil Kumar,	AB-120	12.05.12	15,000
28	N.K. Bhagat	AB-121	17.05.12	35,000
29	Ruchika Malhotra	AB-133	21.05.12	63,500
30	Dr. S. SivaPrasad kumar	AB-774	8.11.12	30000
Total	1			2629967/-

The above contingent advances may be adjusted under information to Audit.

Para 7: Non adjustment of Medical advances amounting Rs.3.69 lakh

During the scrutiny of medical advance register of the University for the Period 2011-12 to 2013-14 it was noticed that an amount of Rs.995503/- which was given as Medical advance to five officials of the university was not adjusted till during the course of audit.

The university, however, in response to audit observation, settled an amount of Rs.626503/- in three cases. But still in two cases, medical advances as mentioned below are still outstanding.

Sl. No.	Name of the Employees	Designation	Bill No. & date	Advance drawn
1	Dr. Narender Kumar	Professor	110/02.05.12	3,24,000
5	B. R. Naudiyal	Gest. Operator	529/12.10.12	45,000
Total				3,69,000

The above medical advances amounting Rs.3.69 lakh may please be adjusted under intimation to audit.

Para 8: non adjustment of LTC advances amounting Rs. 55,843/-

As per Government of Indian Decision L.T.C. Rule – 14, L.T.C. advance should be adjusted within a period of one month from the date of drawal, if the advance is not fully adjusted in the claim submitted within stipulated time, the unutilized advance will be recovered with interest at a rate 2 % over GPF interest rate, from the date of drawal of the advance to the date of recovery.

During the scrutiny of L.T.C. advance register of D.T.U. for the period 2011-12 to 2013-14 revealed that an amount of Rs.714945/- given as advance to various officials of the university was not adjusted.

In response to audit observation, the university adjusted an amount of Rs. 659102/- in various cases. But still one case of LTC advance as mentioned below is still outstanding.

Sl. No.	Name of the Employees	Designation	Bill No. & date	Advance
				drawn
1	Shri Vishal Verma	Asso. Prof.	130/07.05.12	55,843
Total		20		55,843/-

The above LTC advance may please be adjusted under intimation to audit.

de

General

The general condition of accounts of the, Delhi Technological University, Shahbad Daulat Pur, Bawana Road Delhi 110042 for the year 2011-12 to 2013-14 was found to be satisfactory subject to the observations pointed out in the Inspection Report.

The Inspection Report has been prepared on the basis of information furnished and made available by Delhi Technological University, Shahbad Daulat Pur, Bawana Road Delhi 110042 The Office of the Pr. Accountant General (Audit) Delhi, disclaims any responsibility for any misinformation and / or non-information on the part of the auditee.

Sr. Audit Officer

TAN

TAN 1: Shortage of Manpower

As per information furnished by the University, there is a huge shortage of staff in different categories.

Against sanctioned strength of 267, there is a shortage of 92 officials (34.5%). Amongst the total filled post of 175, 121(45%) posts have been filled on contract basis. Shortage of manpower to this extent certainly affects smooth functioning of both administration and teaching as the university is not equipped with the manpower as per the sanctioned strength.

Further, the work of cashier is being handled by an official appointed on contractual basis. The contractual employee cannot be made accountable to the extent of a regular employee. Thus the university should ensure safeguarding Government interest in the event of any irregularity or malpractice.

Asst. Audit Officer